

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/961,266	09/25/2001	Eric Ehrman	P-3593-US	8049
27130 75	30 7590 12/03/2004		EXAMINER	
EITAN, PEARL, LATZER & COHEN ZEDEK LLP 10 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA, SUITE 1001			REFAI, RAMSEY	
NEW YORK, NY 10020		'4	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/961,266	EHRMAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ramsey M Refai	2154					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence add	lress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, m I. I reply within the statutory minimum or riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) atute, cause the application to becor	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	<u>9/25/2001</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· <u> </u>	☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement	t.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the column 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received nents have been received priority documents have t reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	. in Application No been received in this National S	Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	7 3/08) 5) 🔲 Notic	r No(s) Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO r:	-152)				

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-12 are presented for examination.

Drawings

2. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because the drawings are unclear. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Oath/Declaration

3. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

Applicant has not given a post office address anywhere in the application papers
as required by 37 CFR 1.33(a), which was in effect at the time of filing of the oath
or declaration. A statement over applicant's signature providing a complete post
office address is required.

Art Unit: 2154

The date of filing of the provisional application No. 60/237,365, dated October 4,
 2001 in the oath and declaration is incorrect.

Specification

4. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

- 5. As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:
 - (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
 - (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
 - (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
 - (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A

 COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program

 listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables

Application/Control Number: 09/961,266

Art Unit: 2154

having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

Page 4

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a).

"Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 6. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they

Art Unit: 2154

must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claim 12 has been renumbered 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The word "generally" renders the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

10. Claims 1,3-5, 7-9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hazra (U.S. Patent No. 6,510,553).

Application/Control Number: 09/961,266

Art Unit: 2154

11. As per claim 1, Hazra teaches a method for streaming content via a network to a receivers

Page 6

the method comprising:

receiving multiple streams, each generally from a different supplier, wherein said multiple streams together form said content (column3, lines 33-38 and column 4, lines 18-39).

12. As per claims 3 and 7, Hazra teaches said content is a video stream (column 3, lines 33-

38).

13. As per claims 4 and 8, Hazra teaches a said content is a movie (column 1, lines 12-23;

shows a stream that consists of an audio and a video signal).

14. As per claim 5, Hazra teaches that each stream is formed of a plurality of separately

transmittable chunks (column 2, lines 22-23; data packets).

15. As per claim 9, Hazra teaches a set of chunks (column 2, lines 22-23; data packets), one

from at least one of said multiple streams, into a section of said content (column3, lines 33-38

and column 4, lines 18-39; streaming involves sending data in packets, packets are then

recombined to form the content).

Art Unit: 2154

As per claim 10, Hazra teaches displaying said content stream (column 1, lines 18-23) and replacing at least one said supplier during said displaying (column 9, lines 9-16 and column 9, lines 38 – 46).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 18. <u>Claims 2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hazra by</u>
 (U.S. Patent No. 6,510,553) in view of AAPA (Applicant Admitted Prior Art).
- 19. As per claim 2, Hazra fails to teach that each said supplier has a narrower upload bandwidth than a download bandwidth of said receiver.
- 20. However, AAPA teaches that although the receiving computer has a wide bandwidth for download, the sending computer cannot send a wide bandwidth of data to the receiving computer (paragraph [0006]). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Hazra and AAPA because AAPA's teaching that each said supplier has a narrower upload bandwidth than a download bandwidth of said receiver in Hazra's method would allow for a user to download content from multiple suppliers.

Art Unit: 2154

21. As per claim 11, Hazra teaches a method for streaming content via a network to a receiver, the method comprising: receiving multiple streams, each generally from a different supplier, wherein said multiple streams together form said content (column3, lines 33-38 and column 4, lines 18-39).

- 22. Hazra fails to teach providing a plurality of streams to a plurality of different suppliers.
- 23. However, AAPA teaches providing a plurality of streams to a plurality of different suppliers (paragraph [0004]; peer-to-peer users provide music files to other user who supply files).]). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Hazra and AAPA because AAPA's use of providing a plurality of streams to a plurality of suppliers in Hazra's method would allow for a user that receives content, such as music streams, from other users to send content to these users supplying the content, thereby creating a file-sharing network.
- 24. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hazra by (U.S. Patent No. 6,510,553) in view of Kawamura et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,728,271).
- 25. As per claim 6, Hazra fails to teach one of the following processing methods: encryption, demultiplexing and scrambling.
- However, Kawamura et al teaches demultiplexing of a stream (column 1, lines 10-13).]). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Hazra and Kawamura et al because Kawamura et al's use

Art Unit: 2154

of demultiplexing a stream in Hazra's method would allow for the breaking up of a stream into packets of data in order to send the content to the requesting client.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. Shin (U.S. Patent No. 6,558,049)
- b. Lawrence (U.S. Patent No. 6,721,957)
- c. LaRocca et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,578,201)
- d. Graham (U.S. Patent No. 6,732,183)
- e. Fuller et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,711,622)
- f. Apostolopoulos (U.S. Patent No. 6,611,530)
- g. Leighton et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,665,726)
- h. Chang et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,816,909)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramsey M Refai whose telephone number is (571) 272-3975. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/961,266

Art Unit: 2154

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramsey M Refai Examiner Art Unit 2154

N. Starl

Page 10

RMR November 11, 2004